PATENT APPLICATION	SERIAL	NO.
--------------------	--------	-----

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE FEE RECORD SHEET

11/03/2003 KBETEMA1 00000003 500471 10696871

01 FC:1001 02 FC:1202

43.00 DA 270:00 DA 727:00 OP

12/31/2003 EEKUBAY1 00000011 10696871

01 FC:2001 02 FC:2202

385.00 OP 135.00 OP

Adjustment date: 12/31/2003 EEKUBAY1 11/03/2003 KBETEMA1 00000003 500471 10696871 01 FC:1001 43.00 CR -722.00 DF Adjustment date: 12/31/2003 EEKUBAY1 11/03/2003 KBETEMA1 00000003 500471 10696871 02 FC:1202 270.00 CR

Repln. Ref: 12/31/2003 EEKUBAY1 0009581100 DA#:500471 Name/Number:10696871 FC: 9204 \$207.00 CR

> PTO-1556 (5/87)

U.S. Government Printing Office: 2002 — 489-267/69033

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Cory Watkins et al. Examiner: Unassigned Serial No.: 10/696,871 Group Art Unit: Unassigned Filed: October 30, 2003 Docket: A126.108.102 **Due Date:** January 30, 2004 Title: CONFOCAL 3D INSPECTION SYSTEM AND PROCESS Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Sir/Madam: We are transmitting herewith the attached: Transmittal Sheet containing Certificate of Mailing (1 pg.). Request for Refund Under 37 C.F.R. §1.26 (Including Improper Charge of Deposit Account) and Written Confirmation of Status as a Small Entity (4 pgs.). Printout of Deposit Account Statement for 50-0471 (1 pg.). Return Postcard. Please consider this a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME for a sufficient number of months to enter these papers, if appropriate. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any additional fees or credit overnayment to Deposit Account No. 500471. By: Customer No. 000037974 Name: Timothy Reg. No.: 39,649 CERTIFICATE UNDER 37-C.F.R. I. 8 The undersigned hereby certifies that this paper or papers as described herein, are being deposited in the United States

Mail Stop 16 Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box Postal Service, as first-class mail; in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop 16, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this day of December, 2003. By Name: (Timothy A. Cza

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Cory Watkins et al.

Examiner: Unassigned

Serial No.:

10/696,871

Group Art Unit: Unassigned

Filed:

October 30, 2003

Docket: A126.108.102

Due Date:

January 30, 2004

Title:

CONFOCAL 3D INSPECTION SYSTEM AND PROCESS

REQUEST FOR REFUND UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.26 (INCLUDING IMPROPER CHARGE OF DEPOSIT ACCOUNT) AND WRITTEN CONFIRMATION OF STATUS AS SMALL ENTITY

Mail Stop 16 Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir/Madam:

I. Confirmation Of Small Entity Assertion

L	Attached i	is an	assertion	of sma	ll entity	status	in t	his appl	ication.
---	------------	-------	-----------	--------	-----------	--------	------	----------	----------

An assertion of small entity status was filed in the parent application on July 16, 2002.

In the event the previous assertion of small entity status is deemed insufficient, the undersigned hereby confirms that small entity status is entitled to be asserted for the application and that Applicant is a small entity.

II. Refund Request

This is a Request for Refund, with respect to the charge to Deposit Account No. 50-0471, shown on the November 2003 Statement (attached), for charges made on this application in the amounts of \$270.00 and \$43.00 on November 3, 2003, as well as a Request for Refund for overpayment in the amount of \$207.00 for the filing fee paid on October 30, 2003.

Request for Refund Under 37 C.F.R. §1.26...

Applicant: Cory Watkins et al. Serial No.: 10/696,871 Filed: October 30, 2003

Docket No.: A126.108.102
Title: CONFOCAL 3D INSPECTION SYSTEM AND PROCESS

III. Fee Paid for Which Refund Requested

Requested
=
\$43.00
\$477.00
\$
\$
\$
\$
\$
\$ \$
•
\$
\$ \$ \$ \$
\$
\$
\$
¥
\$520.00
-

F.Mil.

IV. Explanation of Overpayment and Why Contested Charge is in Error

The above-identified application (hereinafter "Pending Application") was filed on October 30, 2003 as a continuation of application Serial No. 10/196,335 filed July 16, 2002 (hereinafter "Parent Application"). The Parent Application properly claimed small entity status, and the Pending Application properly claimed priority to the Parent Application. A Preliminary Amendment was included with the initial Pending Application filing documentation, resulting in thirty-five (35) pending claims, one of which is an independent claim. On the Transmittal Sheet

Request for Refund Under 37 C.F.R. §1.26...

Applicant: Cory Watkins et al. Serial No.: 10/696,871

Filed: October 30, 2003 Docket No.: A126.108.102

Title: CONFOCAL 3D INSPECTION SYSTEM AND PROCESS

associated with the Pending Application's filing documentation, a fee calculation inaccurately denoted that thirty-eight (38) claims were pending (instead of thirty-five (35)) and contained a calculation error for "claims in excess of twenty". In particular, the fee for "claims in excess of twenty" was recited as being "\$342.00". It is believed that the erroneous basis for this calculation was 18 x \$19.00 (i.e., incorrect statement that thirty-eight claims were being presented, and thus 18 claims over 20, multiplied by \$19.00 instead of \$9.00). The correct fee for claims in excess of twenty should be \$135.00 (i.e., fifteen claims in excess of twenty multiplied by \$9.00). As a result of the unintentional errors, the fee calculation showed a filing fee amount of \$727.00 (i.e., \$385.00 basis filing fee plus \$342.00 for claims in excess of twenty). A check in the amount of \$727.00 was included with the Pending Application's filing documentation. Because Applicant was and is entitled to small entity status, and because only fifteen claims in excess of twenty were presented, the correct filing fee should be \$520.00 (\$385.00 basic filing fee plus \$135.00 for claims in excess of twenty). As a result, Applicant is entitled to a refund of \$207.00 for the payment submitted with the initial filing (i.e., \$727.00 - \$520.00).

EWL.

In addition to the filing fee, charges were made to Deposit Account No. 50-0471 ("the Deposit Account") on November 3, 2003 relating to filing of the Pending Application, as evidenced by the attached print-out of a Deposit Account Statement for Deposit Account No. 50-0471. It is believed that the basis for these charges is as follows. It is believed that the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office – Receiving Office did not confirm the small entity status associated with the Pending Application as otherwise provided by the Parent Application. As a result, large entity rates were asserted against the Pending Application. In particular, the large entity basic filing fee is \$770.00. Because the Pending Application filing documentation included a check for \$727.00, a charge of \$43.00 (i.e., \$770.00 - \$727.00) was made against the Deposit Account. Further, because there were fifteen claims in excess of twenty and in light of the large entity rate of \$18.00 for each claim in excess of twenty, a second charge of \$270.00 (i.e., 15 x \$18.00) was made against the Deposit Account. Regardless, of the precise basis, however, because Applicant qualified for small entity status and because the initial filing fee more than covered the small entity filing fees, the \$43.00 and \$270.00 charges against the Deposit Account were improper.

Request for Refund Under 37 C.F.R. §1.26...

Applicant: Cory Watkins et al. Serial No.: 10/696,871 Filed: October 30, 2003 Docket No.: A126.108.102

Title: CONFOCAL 3D INSPECTION SYSTEM AND PROCESS

In the event the claim of small entity status provided in the Parent Application is viewed as not extending to the Pending Application, Applicant respectfully notes that with this filings small entity status has been confirmed and positively asserted. As such, it is respectfully requested that the initial overpayment of \$207.00 and Deposit Account charges of \$43.00 and \$270.00 (total of \$520.00) be refunded.

PATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

V

V. Manner of Refund					
Please make refund by crediting:					
Deposit Account No. 50-0471	Deposit Account No. 50-0471				
Credit card as shown on the attached c	credit card information authorization form (PTO-2038).				
Refunding overpayment.					
	Respectfully submitted,				
	Cory Watkins et al.,				
	By their attorneys				
Date: DECEMBOR 16, 2003 TAC:jmc	DICKE, BILLIG & CZAJA, PLLC Fifth Street Towers, Suite 2250 100 South Fifth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 Telephone: (612) 573-2004 Facsimile: (612) 573-2005 By: Timothy A. Czaja Reg. No. 39,649				
CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8: The undersigned hereby/certifies that this paper or pail Postal Service as first class mail an an envelope ad 1450. Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this 6. day	pers, as described herein, are being deposited in the United States dressed to: Mail Stop 16, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box vot December, 2003.				